

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/767,483	01/28/2004	K. Ranji Vaidyanathan	003248.00093	5060
22908 7	590 10/26/2005		EXAM	INER
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. TEN SOUTH WACKER DRIVE SUITE 3000			AFZALI, SARANG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, II	60606		3729	

DATE MAILED: 10/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/3/05. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. isposition of Claims 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 28 January 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			Sp		
Examiner Sarang Afzaii 3729 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - eriod for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. HIND period for reply is evaluable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.39(a). In no event, towever, may a reply be timely field either SIX (b) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. HIND period for reply is pecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. Failurs to reply within the stor extended period for reply will be stated evaluated by apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. HIND period for reply is pecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication, if the state of the communication, and the state of the communication, and the state of the communication, even if timely field, may reduce any states are distributed by the state, and the state of the communication, even if timely field, may reduce any express of the communication and the state of the communication, even if timely field, may reduce any express of the communication and the state of the communication and the maining date of this communication. 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 10/3/05. 2) This action is FINAL. 2(b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. isposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are objected to by the Examiner.		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Sarang Afzaii 3729 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address eriod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ectransions of the may be a valishing under the previous of 37 GFR 1138(b). In no event, however, may a reby be timely field after SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of his communication of 37 GFR 1138(b). In no event, however, may a reby be timely field after SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of his communication will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of his communication. Failure to neigh within the sort oreinded period for neight unity at the control of t		10/767,483	VAIDYANATHAN ET AL.		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address = reiroid for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the proximism of 37 CPE1 13(6). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period reply is specified above, the maximum statistory period will apply and will expire SIX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period reply is specified above, the maximum statistory period will apply and will expire SIX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period reply is specified above, the maximum statistory period will apply and will expire SIX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period reply within the set of extended period for reply with period of the property of the specified after SIX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period reply is specified and the communication. The specified is the set of this communication. The specified is specified and set of this communication. The set of this communication is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Isoposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely field after SIX (s) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If No period for reply is specified above, the maximum stability as supply and will explice SIX (s) MONTHS from the mailing date of this maximum stability stabilities. Cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Avy reply received by the Office later than throse months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). - Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/3/05. - 2a)		Sarang Afzali	3729		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.13(a). In ne event, however, may a reply be timely fired after 50 km of 10 to 10 t		on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/3/05. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. isposition of Claims 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 28 January 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILII - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI y statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed YTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. isposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s)	Status				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. isposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	10/3/05.	•		
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. isposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. pplication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 January 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. *riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		_			
A	3) Since this application is in condition for a	–			
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **pplication Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 January 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. **riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119* 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	closed in accordance with the practice un	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Poplication Papers** 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 28 January 2004 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. **Triority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Disposition of Claims				
5 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. **pplication Papers** 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 28 *	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation.			
6 Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. **pplication Papers** 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on 28 January 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. **riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119* 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-26 is/are with	thdrawn from consideration.			
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **pplication Papers** 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on **28 January 2004* is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. **riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119* 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. pplication Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 28 January 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. *riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.				
pplication Papers 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 28 January 2004 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. *riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 28 January 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 January 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Interiority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Application Papers				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colon None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 28 January 2004	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ o	objected to by the Examiner.		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. *riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.		
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	-	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	,				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 			Application No.		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	·		received in this National Stage		
	• •		roceived		
ltachment(s)	* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.		
ttachment(s)					
	Attachment(s)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 10242005.

6) Other: _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-14, drawn to method of making a heat exchanger, classified in class 29, subclass 890.03.
 - II. Claims 15-24, 26, drawn to a heat dissipating device, classified in class174, subclass 16.3.
 - III. Claim 25, drawn to a method of determining optimal sizing characteristics of a heat exchanger, classified in class 703, subclass 2.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of Group I and Group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the device can be made without mechanically processing filaments to arrange the filaments in a predetermined orientation to provide a green body.

Inventions of Group I and Group III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed

Art Unit: 3729

does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because Group I does not require the detailed features stated in Group III such as applying a formula to determine optimal sizing characteristics of a heat exchanger for patentability. The subcombination has separate utility such as for use in a process that does not require a sintering step as recited in the combination.

Inventions of Group II and Group III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product could be made without the use of a formula.

During a telephone conversation with Jon Nelson on 10-17-2005 an election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-14. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this office action. Claims 15-26 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 10 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 10 is shown to be dependent on claim 8. However, it seems that this has to be corrected to show a dependency of claim 10 to claim 9.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3729

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. On line 2, the limitation "carbon additive" is unclear and vague as to what exactly the carbon additive is? Does it mean that there are special additives that contain carbon in them or there are certain types of carbon that can be used as additives?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1-2, 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Musso et al. (US 2003/0173720).

As applied to claim 1, Musso et al. teach a method for forming channeled articles used as heat sink for semiconductor devices wherein:

Art Unit: 3729

- (a) forming one or more filaments including first composition (cores 36 & 38, Fig. 5) enclosed in a second composition body (14, Fig. 5);
- (b) mechanically processing the filaments (36, 38, Fig. 5) to arrange them in a predetermined orientation to provide a green body (intermediate part 40, Fig. 5);
- (c) subjecting the green body to conditions effective for removing the first composition (cores 36 & 38) from intermediate body (40) and sintering the second composition (body 14, para. [0041], lines 1-7) to provide a heat exchanger wherein channels (12, Fig. 1) having walls made of the sintered second composition for containing coolant flow.

As applied to claim 2, Musso et al. further teach that filaments (core members) are mechanically processed by extruding them and depositing the filaments onto a working surface of in one or more layers (para. [0209], lines 1-3).

As applied to claim 5, Musso et al. teach that the first composition (core members) are thermally degradable composition and wherein the first composition is removed from the green body by heating it (para. [0219], lines 1-3).

As applied to claim 6, Musso et al. teach that the second composition (body 14) is metal and sinterable ceramics (para. [0090], lines 1-3 and Table 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/767,483 Page 6

Art Unit: 3729

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 8. Claims 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Musso et al. in view of Hoopman et al. (US 5,317,805). Musso et al. teach the invention cited with the exception of exclusively disclosing the limitations of using a solvent to remove first composition. However, it is well known in the art to provide different methods for removing a core used to form a cavity in a ceramic matrix composite where the core is removed by heating, leaching and solvents amongst other methods. Furthermore, Hoopman et al. teach a method of making microchannel heat exchangers utilizing sacrificial cores wherein a core in a unitary microchannel heat exchanger's shell is made around a sacrificial core (102) with microchannel forming portions (108) that comprise filaments and further these filaments are removed by being dissolved in a solvent (col. 18, lines 13-18) resulting in fabrication of complex geometries of heat exchanger design to effectively meet the cooling demands of almost any shaped component or other medium requiring a specific heat exchanger geometry (col. 4, lines 28-33). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have provided Musso et al. with a suitable core composition such as one taught by Hoopman et al. in order to provide an effective and suitable means of fabrication for complex geometries of heat exchanger design.
- 9. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Musso et al. in view of and Hoopman et al. and Davenport (US 3,222,144). Musso et al. modified

Application/Control Number: 10/767,483 Page 7

Art Unit: 3729

by Hoopman et al. teach the invention cited with the exception of exclusively disclosing that the solvent used is water. It is well known in the art that the solvent used would depend on the chemical characteristics of the chemical targeted for removal, hence a water solvent is only indicative of the type of core material being used. Furthermore, Davenport teaches a manufacturing method of grid or honeycomb structures intended for use as heat exchangers (col. 6, lines 57-58) wherein a core (16) made of water soluble material can be dissolved in order to provide a self-supporting honeycomb structure within a shell or casing in a product in which the cellular passages are to be open (col. 4, lines 30-38, 45-48). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have further provided Musso et al./Hoopman with a suitable solvent such as one taught by Davenport in order to provide an effective and suitable means of removing the core material resulting in fabrication of self-supporting honeycomb structure within a shell.

10. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Musso et al. in view of Hanaki et al. (US 4,746,479). Musso et al. teach the invention cited with the exception of exclusively disclosing the limitation of silicon carbide. However, Hanaki et al. disclose a method of manufacturing a heat exchange element wherein the green body has a composition of silicon carbide used to form block type heat exchange elements which are heat resistive and have an improved property against thermal shock (col. 10, lines 32-38). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have provided Musso et al. with a suitable material such as one

Art Unit: 3729

taught by Hanaki et al. in order to provide an effective and suitable material for a heat exchange element.

11. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Musso et al. in view of Avakian (US 2004/0106713).

As applied to claims 8-10, Musso et al. teach the invention cited with the exception of exclusively disclosing the use of a thermal conductivity enhancing material (claim 8), type of additive (claim 9) and weight percent (claim 10). However, Avakian teaches the use of additives in a thermoplastic compound wherein nanotubes of carbon is used as an example of thermal conductivity additive with a preferred range of 2 to 95 weight percent in order to enhance the existing manufacturing and use performance of the compound (Abstract, lines 1-12). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have modified Musso et al. with a suitable type and amount of additive material such as ones taught by Avakian to provide an effective means of further increasing the thermal conductivity of the heat exchange element.

12. Claims 8 and 9 are alternatively rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Musso et al. in view of Ocher et al. (US 2003/0131476). Musso et al. teach the invention cited with the exception of exclusively disclosing the use of a thermal conductivity enhancing material (claim 8) and type of additive (claim 9) used. However, Ocher et al. disclose a heat-dissipating element wherein certain materials are adequately used in making radiator structures (101, Fig. 17), heat conduits (115, Fig.

Art Unit: 3729

17) and heat reservoir (125, Fig. 17) for their high thermal conductivity characteristics such as silicon carbide (para. [0077], lines 1-10). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have modified Musso et al. with a suitable material such as one taught by Ocher et al. to provide an effective means of further increasing the thermal conductivity of the heat exchange element (col. 10, lines 43-51).

Page 9

13. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Musso et al. in view of Rainer et al. (US 5,533,258). Musso et al. teach the invention cited with the exception of exclusively disclosing the limitations of depositing a thin layer of material (claim 11) and CVD process (claim 12). However, Rainer et al. teach a process for the manufacturing a cooling unit wherein a coating of an intermediate thin layer with a thickness range of 10 to 50 μm is deposited by CVD (chemical vapor deposition) process is used to provide an excellent joint between the parts made of heat resistant material and the metallic coolant conduit (col. 2, lines 25-30). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have provided Musso et al. with deposition of a thin layer of material such as one taught by Rainer et al. to provide an effective means of part connection that would be capable of withstanding the high thermal stresses occurring during operation without the development of any significant damaging material cracks (col. 1, lines 60-67).

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 10/767,483

Art Unit: 3729

- 14. Claims 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Musso et al. in view of Rossi (US 2002/0037142). Musso et al. teach the invention cited with the exception of exclusively disclosing depositing a metallic layer. However, Rossi teaches a high thermal conductivity structure with a heat sink wherein the outer surface of the heat sink (18, Fig. 4) is nickel plated to provide improve weldability (para. [0060], lines 7-9). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have modified Musso et al. with a suitable metallic layer such as one taught by Rossi to provide an effective means of improved welding.
- 15. Claims 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Musso et al. in view of McCullough (US 6,093,961). Musso et al. teach the invention cited with the exception of exclusively disclosing the external protrusions. However, McCullough teaches a molded heat sink assembly wherein external protrusions (heat dissipating members (18), Fig. 2) is integrally formed to the base member (12, Fig. 2) and pointing upwardly into the air for optimum heat exchange from the base member (12, col. 4, lines 28-30). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have modified Musso et al. by forming suitable external protrusions such as ones taught by McCullough to provide an effective heat dissipating means.

Conclusion

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Page 11

Application/Control Number: 10/767,483

Art Unit: 3729

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarang Afzali whose telephone number is 571-272-8412. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00-3:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

*5.*A S.A. 10/24/2005

> MARC JIMENEZ PRIMARY EXAMINER

10/25/05